

Evaluation Criteria

The following criteria are used in the evaluation:

- **Scope:** Is the project within the scope of the call? See [scope of the call](#). See also which activities do not count as project outputs.

Proposals that do not meet the key requirements regarding scope will be rejected by the jury, regardless of other criteria.

Main Criteria

- **Relevance and impact:** How relevant is the project to potential user groups? How easy can the user groups take up the project's output? Does the project's output have the potential to serve as showcase for practices for wider user groups? How does the produced application benefit the conduct of research?
- **Transferability and scalability:** What is the potential/ability of the outputs to be transferred into real world applications? Can the outcomes be scaled?
- **Sustainability and strategic fit:** How sustainable are the planned applications? Is there a clear and actionable plan and strategy in place to maintain the application beyond the duration of the project? How well is the project aligned with institutional etc. strategies?

Additional Criteria

- **Feasibility:** Are the time frame and budget adequate for carrying out the project? Are the proposed resources suitable for achieving the goals?
- **International competitiveness and connectivity:** Do the proposed projects align with international developments/practices? Does the proposed work have the potential to provide a meaningful contribution to international networks and consortia which address the same problems?
- **Competences of the team:** Teams should include an appropriate mix of expertise of domain and IT competences. A clear description of the roles of the individual partners and a clear project management plan must be presented.
- **Consideration of gender aspects**
- **Consideration of ethical aspects**